

STAR BOARDER'S LOVE GREW COLD; HER BILL IS \$32

Mrs. Vreeland Wouldn't Marry
"Shrimp" She Says Wood
for Insurance.

CALLS HER A NEMESIS.

Hamernick Has Another Girl,
but Says Jilted One
Pesters Him.

Board and lodging..... \$15.00
Carfare and taxi money..... 5.00
Smoking jacket..... 2.75
Bathrobe..... 5.00
Theatre seat..... .75
Express charges..... .50
Total..... \$29.00

The bill, presented before Magistrate Brown in Centre Street Court today by Mrs. Elizabeth Vreeland, the buxom, six-foot proprietor of a boarding house at No. 202 Eighth avenue, reacted like a boomerang against William S. Hamernick, formerly Mrs. Vreeland's star boarder, who had brought her to court on a summons charging that she annoyed him.

According to Hamernick, who is a clerk in the grocery of Clark, Chapin & Bushnell, at No. 336 Greenwich street, he once stood high in Mrs. Vreeland's favor, but lately she had annoyed him to the point of forcing him to seek other quarters. Since then, he said, she had written letters to his employers, losing one job for him and imperiling his new position by her accusations and letters.

Mrs. Vreeland, however, told another story. Hamernick, she said, had made ardent love to her from the moment that he learned she was going to collect \$1,000 life insurance from the death of her late husband.

"This little shrimp," she said, casting a fery glance at the diminutive Hamernick, "promised to marry me as soon as I got the insurance money, and we planned to buy a farm. It went to the point of my taking my child from boarding school and getting my furniture out of storage. Then my brother-in-law came to town and looked Hamernick over. He didn't like what he saw, and said he'd only let me have the insurance money by instalments of \$50 a month."

"As soon as Hamernick heard that, he grew cold and moved from my house. He owed me back board and cash and a lot of presents I had given him because we were to be married. Here is the bill."

"Would you marry him now?" asked the Magistrate.

"Not on your life, Your Honor," said Mrs. Vreeland.

"I'm glad of it," broke in Hamernick, "as I've got engaged to another girl."

The Magistrate dismissed the case, after referring Mrs. Vreeland to a civil court to collect her bill against Hamernick.

CRAZED BY WORRY OVER BABY, TRIES TO KILL HUSBAND

Wife's Nurse Goes to Rescue
of Gross and Has a Desperate
Fight for Life.

Mrs. Freda Gross, twenty-five years old, of No. 53 Elton avenue, the Bronx, went suddenly insane in her home early today and attacked her husband, William C., with a razor, inflicting serious cuts on each side of his throat and almost severing his right ear.

She was subdued after a fearful struggle by Mrs. Margaret Gallagher, a nurse, who had been attending her, aided by several other tenants in the house who heard Mrs. Gallagher trying to quiet the mad woman and came to the rescue.

Mrs. Gross gave birth to a baby girl three days ago. She was attended during her illness by Mrs. Gallagher, who comes from Leonia, N. J. Mrs. Gross feared the baby was starving and disagreed with the nurse about feeding it. Although assured by the attending physician and the nurse that the baby was doing well, the young mother seemed to brood over its fabled condition.

While some of the neighbors held Mrs. Gross others telephoned for Dr. Moore of No. 438 East One Hundred and Fifty-seventh street, who had attended her when the baby was born. After attending Gross Dr. Moore summoned an ambulance from Lebanon Hospital, and sent husband and wife to that institution in charge of Dr. Brill of the hospital staff.

GIRL MUST STAY IN JAIL

Court, Though, Instructs Coroner
to Hold Inquest Soon.

Supreme Court Justice Bischoff today dismissed the writ of habeas corpus sworn out by Miss Kitt Witherrall, who is held in the Tombs under a commitment by a coroner, for alleged implication in the death of Adolph Hüllberg, who was killed at No. 216 East One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street July 12.

In dismissing the writ the Justice told Assistant District Attorney Richter that the Coroner's inquest should be held as soon as possible.

One Modern Paris Awards the Beauty Prize To Bud of 18, Rather Than the Rose of 30 or 40

But He Declares "Matrimony Usually Picks the Bud Green and Pickles Her Into a Sort of Olive for Which One Has to Cultivate a Taste."

A "Grandma of 75" Makes Some Pertinent Comments in Discussion by Evening World Readers of the Query: At What Age Is Woman Most Attractive?

BY NIXOLA GREELEY-SMITH.



NIXOLA GREELEY-SMITH

At what age is woman most attractive? "At forty," said Caroline Otero, dancer of note and notoriety. Otero is forty-one.

"At thirty," replied Mme. Karin Michaelis, author of "The Dangerous Age," the literary sensation of the Continent.

"At fifty-three," wrote a gallant man who reads The Evening World, "for that is the age of my wife." "From seventeen to twenty," declared a youth, casting his ballot for what he termed "the young girls—the peaches and cream of life."

On the other hand, many women of forty and upward have written that they agree heartily with Otero, and one irate matron in Brooklyn sent a withering letter suggesting that "some woman of forty must have wrung your heart," because I had ventured to say that, while the mature siren may be more attractive, the girl of twenty-two or twenty-three is actually more beautiful than she.

Alas, alas! how did she guess the fatal secret?

Yet it is written that a man shall not marry his grandmother, nor a woman be jealous of her. And yet perhaps a real grandmother's point of view on this subject is the least personal, the most discriminating of all. She has been twenty-three, she has been forty, and she is far enough away from both ages to have forgotten the rancor one inspires in the other. Here is a letter written by a delightful old lady who signs herself "A German Grandma."

HOW A GRANDMA OF SEVENTY-FIVE SOLVES PROBLEM.

"I have read your article comparing the beauty of the forty-year-old woman with that of the girl of eighteen to twenty-five. I fully agree with your opinion, which gives the palm of beauty to the younger woman. I have known several very handsome women of forty or more in my lifetime, but, as you say, they were mothers. I am now seventy-five years old, but I remember the mother of a chum I had as a school-girl whom I thought lovely. Another very handsome woman I knew when I was a girl had grown-up sons and daughters."

"I could name more instances, but it is not necessary. These ladies were not made up; they did not study how to look young; never thought of paint or powder or of captivating men. They were handsome because they were happy. When they saw their husbands and children content and well they cared for nothing else."

"The forty-year-old woman of the Otero sort is a very different person. I know some of them too, and I must say it is wonderful how successfully they make up. When you see them in the morning they are old, and look it. Meet them later, perhaps by gaslight, and ten or fifteen years seem to have fallen from the face, but the expression of the face is anything but sweet or youthful, quite the other thing. I was taught from childhood that it is the duty of every one to look as nice and decent as possible, so as not to be an eyesore to any one; but that does not require pose, paint or powder, only a degree of good taste and neatness."

"Excuse writing. My hand is not very steady."

The hand of the seventy-five-year-old philosopher may not be very steady, but her head and her judgment are. She has met the dragon of old age and cast him under foot as any woman can do who remembers the first principles of animal taming.

OLD AGE BRAVELY MET IS EASILY ROUTED.

If we always look old and squarely between the eyes and never under any circumstances retreat from the monster, we will be very apt to see him turn tail and take to the woods.

Opposing the very definite opinions of the "German Grandma" comes this letter from a man—I should say a very young man:

"In my opinion, a woman's face is loveliest at maturity," he writes, "that is, at thirty-five to forty. Previous to this time the face is immature. The girl of eighteen looks out wonderfully upon the world as though the future were one grand, beautiful panorama in which every scene would be perfect, and she as leading lady would hold the centre of the stage."

"The woman of maturer years has known love in all its rich fulfillment. Marriage has rounded out and perfected her beauty and character. Her brow and her eyes have laid their chastening hand upon her features, removing the place of early youth. I in their proud lines of the soft, tender beauty of the woman who has suffered and come forth as fine gold." The youth of seventeen shows good taste when he admires the woman of forty. Such a rare as here can fascinate even into later years."

DEPENDS UPON THE WOMAN, SAYS MODERN PARIS.

And now we come to the views of the "man of forty." A reader who so signs himself thus pronounces the judgment of Paris between the three rival beauties—the girl of twenty, the woman of thirty, the matron of forty:

"The question, 'At what age is a woman most attractive?' can't be answered categorically," he says. "It is an individual question having a different answer for every type of woman. There's a certain hard green beauty of youth that never ripens, the rapid girl who reaches her full mental development at eighteen. Matrimony picks her green and pickles her into a sort of olive for which one has to cultivate a taste. Epicures do. Then there's the ugly duckling, the girl with big bones and big features that needs the roundness of the thirties to give her form

Society Woman Who Divorced Son of Millionaire Iron Man



Mrs. LILLIAN DIMOND.

IRISH STEW MUST GIVE PART WAY TO DEUTSCHES WURST

Frank Hamerspflug Albert
Ate Mary Murphy's Dinners
Up to 13—Then Quit.

Magistrate Dooley in the Domestic Relations Court, Brooklyn, today ordered Mrs. Mary Murphy Albert, bride of Frank Hamerspflug Albert, to rearrange her cuisine so as to provide her husband with as many hamburger steaks and Deutsche frankfurters and Kartoffel kleeze as Irish stews.

Young Albert, who migrated from the Vanderland early in his youth, led Murphy to the altar only three weeks ago. They set up housekeeping at No. 115 Vanderbilt avenue and for a week or so they were as happy as it is possible for newlyweds to be, which is somewhere in the neighborhood of 100 per cent. bliss. But along about the second week the Teutonic bridegroom began to sit up and take notice that his bride was providing him with a perpetual Irish stew.

"Mary, kindchen," he said softly, "how about a little sauerbraten, or a hamburger steak, or maybe some frankfurters, vat?"

HE REBELLED ON THE THIRTEENTH STEW.

Mrs. Albert did not reply, but she looked unutterable contempt. Next day Frank Hamerspflug Albert sat down to his thirteenth consecutive Irish stew. He pushed the plate away and got up.

"This is too much!" he exclaimed. "I can't eat it."

Mrs. Mary Murphy Albert said nothing, but the color mounted up under her raven hair.

"You don't like my stew?" she said, huskily.

"Not so often, always," replied the bridegroom. Then, it was testified by the young man today, his bride lost her temper and let fly the platter and stew at his head. He caught most of it and left his cozy home picking china and stew out of his dazed hair and whiskers. Nor did his impetuous young wife see him again until they met in court before Magistrate Dooley. When all the trade testimony was in, the court asked the young husband:

"You don't like Irish stew, eh?"

"I like it not so often," replied Albert.

ARBITRATOR ALLOWS THREE A WEEK.

"Well, I guess you are right," said Magistrate Dooley. "Irish stew has its limitations. It is up to you young people to adjust it. I think if you will consent to Irish stew three times a week your bride will be willing to compromise on an occasional German dish in between. Am I right, Mrs. Albert?"

"Yes, yes, Your Honor," stammered the bride. "I love him enough for that."

"Then kiss and make up," commanded the court, and the sarring couple met in a strange hug and hot court again in arm.

K. OF C. FAVORS FLAHERTY.

DETROIT, Aug. 2.—There is little talk of opposition to the reelection of James A. Flaherty of Philadelphia as Supreme Knight of the Knights of Columbus, now in annual convention in Detroit. The closing of new officers was expected to form the principal business of today's sessions.

BISHOP MALLALIEU DEAD.

AUBURNDALE, Mass., Aug. 2.—Two Rev. Dr. William Francis Mallalieu, Bishop of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and probably oldest minister in point of years of service in the denomination, died here last night after a month's illness. He was eighty-five years of age.

FEAR A BABY FAMINE.

Only 48 Births During July in Pasadena, City of Rich.

PASADENA, Cal., Aug. 2.—This city, said to be the home of more wealthy people than any of its size in the world, is facing a baby famine.

Statistics for July, printed yesterday, show that forty-eight babies were born during the month. Local health authorities say that 200 babies a month would be a minimum normal estimate for the city which has a population of 40,000.

GIRL, 15, TAKES POISON TO PIQUE HER SWEETHEART

Tells Boy After Quarrel That
Next Time He Sees Her
She'll Be Dead.

As a result of a lovers' quarrel, Bessie Schwartz, fifteen years old, cash girl in a Fourth street store, drank carbolic acid early today at her home, No. 8 West One Hundred and Eighteenth street, and is dying in Harlem Hospital.

She lives with her sister on the top floor of the house. Their mother is spending the summer at Coney Island. Last night after coming home from work Bessie put on her best clothes and waited for her sweetheart to come and patch up a quarrel they had the night before.

An hour passed and he did not appear. Julia, her nineteen-year-old sister, was in the parlor entertaining her friend when Bessie put on her hat and said she was going for a walk. She seemed very much wrought up.

As near as can be learned, the girl wandered about Mount Morris Park and that vicinity for several hours, weeping at times. Policeman Vogt of the East One Hundred and Twenty-sixth street station saw a girl at Fifth avenue and One Hundred and Eighteenth street talking excitedly to a youth. As he walked away he heard her say:

"All right, you'll never see me again. The next time you set your eyes on me I'll be dead."

The youth seemed to regard the implied threat lightly and kept on.

Shortly before midnight Bessie returned home, but did not go into the parlor, as was her custom before retiring. She disrobed, put on her nightdress and went into the bathroom. In a few minutes her sister and the man in the parlor heard a bottle crash on the tile floor of the bathroom and rushed back to the door. The man threw his weight against it and broke the lock.

Bessie was on the floor, her mouth seared by the acid, with the broken bottle nearby. They forced soap and the whites of eggs down her throat, but they did no good. Dr. Martin came from Harlem Hospital and tried the stomach pump, but said the acid had done its work and there was little hope for the girl.

Policeman Vogt was one of the officers called to the house during the excitement. He looked at the girl and said she was the one he had heard earlier in the evening tell the young man that the next time he saw her she would be dead.

Julia Schwartz refused to give the police the name of her sister's sweetheart, and said she did not know the cause of the quarrel.

White Rose CEYLON TEA

Its permanent popularity rests on its unchanging qualities.

Dandy for Iced Tea

6.90

1.00

6.90

6.90

6.90

6.90

6.90

6.90

6.90

6.90

CUSTODY OF HEIR AND DIVORCE, TOO, FOR MRS. DIMOND

Decree, Obtained in Nevada,
Gave Boy Into Care of
Mother.

As a climax to more than two years of marital infidelity, Mrs. Lillian B. Dimond, wife of J. Roswick Dimond, son of a millionaire iron man, has obtained a Nevada divorce. The decree was granted in Carson City May 1, but news of it has just reached New York. Mrs. Dimond returned East as soon as the decree was granted, but told no one of the severance of her marriage bonds. The trouble of the Dimonds had supplied society with gossip for a couple of years.

After Mrs. Dimond filed her suit, her lawyers had trouble serving the papers on her husband. They finally learned that he was going to California on business and boarded the train on which he was travelling to serve him.

He engaged counsel and fought the suit to the end, but the wife gained the decision and with it the custody of their four-year-old boy, who is the heir to \$1,000,000 under his paternal grandfather's will.

Mrs. Dimond, who was Lillian Bauer, is the daughter of wealthy parents with whom she has been living since the break-up of her own home. She was married to Dimond in 1904, the wedding being made an elaborate affair. Her husband had gained a name as a writer and yachtsman and a follower of sport in general.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

Her lawyers showed that the wedding presents that had been presented to her had been withheld by the defendants and proved in detail the amount of the bride's money that had been spent for the furniture. She won the case and with it a sum for damages.

In her suit for separation which she brought in the local courts she charged her husband with being in the habit of coming home almost every night drunk. She said that his persistence in this practice caused her to worry so much that she felt a victim to typhoid fever. Mr. Dimond denied that he used stimulants to excess and asked that the court award him the custody of the boy for at least three days of each week.

It was about two years ago that she left her husband and announced that she would sue for a separation. While this was pending she began an action against her husband and father-in-law to recover furniture and household goods valued at \$2,500, which she said she had personally paid for and put into the house at Harrison N. Y., adjoining the elder Dimond's costly mansion.

DREAM SAVED 20 FAMILIES FROM FIREBUG BLAZE

Janitor Awakened in Time to
Prevent Fire Getting
Good Start.

It was fortunate for the one hundred or more tenants in the five-story building at No. 89 East Ninth street that Maurice Troman, the janitor, had a bad dream a 1 o'clock this morning. Troman has an apartment on the ground floor rear, with a window opening into the hall.

As he awoke with a start he saw a glare in the hall and rushing out found a lively blaze. A streamer of oil-soaked rags led from an empty can on the ground floor up to a gallon and a half can filled with oil on the second. With a broom he whisked the streamer away from the cans and ran in his night

shirt to the street, where he notified Policeman Loughman of the Union Market station, who sent in an alarm.

Troman rushed back to the house and with the policeman and several other officers who had responded to Loughman's whistle and nightstick raps, went through the house warning the twenty families, all of whom escaped in good order and record breaking time down the front and rear fire escapes.

The janitor and policeman hauled several cans of ash from in front of the house into the hallway and smothered the blaze, except where some of the woodwork was burning, and a hand extinguisher made short work of that when the firemen arrived.

OMIT TOBACCO DIVIDEND.

Directors Working on Reorganization Supreme Court Ordered.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be satisfactory to all security holders.

The letter states that while the company's earnings are ample to pay the dividend it would not be policy to pay out any of the earnings to common stockholders until after the plan has been passed upon by the Court.

The directors of the American Tobacco Company voted today to omit the usual quarterly dividend on the common stock. A circular letter is being sent to the common shareholders in which mention is made of the recent decision of the Supreme Court and the statement made that the directors are working on a plan for reorganizing the company which will be